

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	l	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,059		01/14/2002	Earl J. Votolato	019502.0015US1	3514	
34284	7590	02/17/2005		EXAMINER		
ROBERT RUTAN &		LLP	LINDSEY, RODNEY M			
	611 ANTON BLVD 14TH FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA MI	COSTA MESA, CA 92626-1931				3765	
				DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/047,059	VOTOLATO, EARL J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney M. Lindsey	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day individually and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frou atute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	5.4	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u> </u>					
,	his action is non-final.					
, _	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 С.D. 11, 2	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 04 November 2002 i Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claim 6 is withdrawn in view of the newly applied reference(s) to Koffler et al. Rejections based on the newly applied reference(s) follow.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: basis should be provided for the four flat side walls as set forth in claim 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green. Green shows an open utensil/tongs comprising joined pockets at 26, 27 formed from a continuous piece of flexible material 2, the pockets sized to receive the fingers and thumb of a hand (see column 9, lines 65-67) and terminating in mutually opposable flattened surfaces (see Figures 2, 9). Product-by-process claim 1 although reciting features in terms of how they are made (molding) is still a product claim, and it is the patentability of the product and not the process steps (molding) that must be determined. The tongs of Green possess all the structure of the final product of claim 1. With respect to claim 3 inherently the utensil of Green will have a color thus meeting the limitation of color coded as claimed. With respect to claim 5 inherently

Application/Control Number: 10/047,059

Art Unit: 3765

the utensil of Green will have a color. The requirement that the color indicate a task is not seen to set forth any structure of utensil not taught by Green or any function of the utensil not inherent in Green. With respect to claim 14 note the symmetry of the utensil of Green.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of Jones. Green teaches the tongs having memory (see column 11, line 11) and the pockets joined at a hinge (see Figure 2), but does not teach forming the tongs of plastic. With respect to claims 2 and 4 note the use of plastic by Jones (see column 3, lines 12-15) or the use of paper by Jones (see column 3, line 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the tongs of Green of the plastic of Jones to achieve the advantage of employing an alternative material capable of effecting a like result of flexibility and disposability.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of Koffler et al. Green is not seen to teach four substantially flat side walls as claimed. Koffler et al. in Figure 3 teaches old the use of four substantially flat side walls to form a pocket. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the tongs of Green by providing the pockets with four substantially flat side walls in the manner of

Art Unit: 3765

Koffler et al. to thus keep the pockets open to properly receive the hand as taught by Koffler et al. (see page 1, column 2, lines 33-41).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed October 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to applicant's remarks Green per Figures 2 and 9 clearly show opposable flattened surfaces at the termination of the pockets. The rejection of claims 1-6 and 14 ably set forth above is deemed proper in all respects.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note particularly, the flat walls in Figure 3 of Tassie.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765

rml